United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge		Milton I. Shadur		Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge			
CASE NUMBER (03 C	8017	DATE	1/5/2	004	
CASE TITLE			Pierre L. Moore vs. Phillip Martin, et al				
MOTION: [In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]							
DOCKET ENTRY:							
(1)	1) Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]						
(2)		Brief in support of motion due					
(3)	☐ Answ	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due					
(4)	☐ Rulin	Ruling/Hearing on set for at					
(5)	□ Status	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(6)	☐ Pretri	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(7)	☐ Trial[Trial[set for/re-set for] on at					
(8)	☐ [Bend	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at					
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] \$\sumset\$ FRCP4(m) \$\sumset\$ Local Rule 41.1 \$\sumset\$ FRCP41(a)(1) \$\sumset\$ FRCP41(a)(2).					
[Other docket entry] Enter Memorandum Order. When exhibits A and B are received, this Court will cause appropriate counterparts of the Complaint to be delivered to the United States Marshals Service to enable to the processing of service on the numerous defendants. If Moore fails to comply with that order on or before January 23, 2004, this Court will be constrained to dismiss the Complaint and this action. [For further detail see order attached to the original minute order.]							
	No notices required,					Document	
	No notices required.				number of notices	Number	
/		otices mailed by judge's staff.		# Tu			
	Notified counsel by telephone.				JAN. Q. E. 2004		
	Mail AO 450 form.	eketing to mail notices. ail AO 450 form.		·		6	
	Copy to judge/magist	TUNDS			docketing deputy initials		
	SN	courtroom 55:3	17 8-1767 No		V5/2004 date mailed notice SN		
		initials []	Date/time	e received in lerk's Office	mailing deputy initials		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

PIERRE L. MOORE #K-61446))
Plaintiff,)
) No. 03 C 8017
v.)
)
PHILLIP MARTIN, et al.) / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
Defendants.) (n = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 =
	JAN 0 6 2004

MEMORANDUM_ORDER

This Court's November 14, 2003 memorandum opinion and order ("Opinion") addressed a number of issues posed by the pro se Complaint filed by Pierre Moore ("Moore") in consequence of this Court's preliminary screening as to that prisoner-instituted Complaint called for by 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). As a threshold issue, Opinion at 3 addressed the question whether Moore had satisfied the statutory precondition for any prisoner lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), which requires that all available administrative proceedings be exhausted before a prisoner can turn to the federal courts for relief.

Although Moore has not complied with the Opinion's directive that he supplement the Complaint with a statement as to the outcome of the mandamus action described in Complaint ¶ 47, this Court has had that question pursued by its own minute clerk -- and the report that she obtained from the state court's administrative personnel is that Moore's mandamus action was dismissed on December 22 for failure to state a cause of action.

That being so, it appears that Moore no longer has any state administrative proceedings available to him. Although no ruling is either made or implied here as to whether that means Moore has complied with the 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a) requirement as a matter of law, and if he has not what effect that may have on his current lawsuit, those subjects can best be addressed as and when they may be raised by one or more defendants.

Accordingly this action may proceed at this time. But Moore is reminded that Opinion at 1 n.1 ordered him (apart from the supplement reporting on the mandamus action, which is no longer needed) to submit one full set of Exhibits A and B and one additional counterpart of the Complaint. As and when those materials are received, this Court will cause appropriate counterparts of the Complaint to be delivered to the United States Marshals Service to enable to the processing of service on the numerous defendants. If Moore fails to comply with that order on or before January 23, 2004, this Court will be constrained to dismiss the Complaint and this action.

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Date: January 5, 2004